

13 October 2015

**Planning Applications Committee
Update**

Item No.	App no. and site address	Report Recommendation
4 Page 19	N/A – Monitoring Report	To NOTE

UPDATE

2. Staff Turnover and Recruitment

Para 2.3

One of the trainee officers has handed in her notice as she has decided that a career in planning is not for her.

Para 2.5

A contract planner was due to start on Monday 6th October but pulled out on Friday 3rd October due to finding an alternative contract closer to his home. We are actively seeking to find another contractor and interviewing this week.

4. Applications Performance

Para 4.3

The Q2 figures (July – September 2015) have now been received and so the table has been updated below:

	Q1 2014	Q2 2014	Q3 2014	Q4 14/15	Q1 2015	Q2 2015	Average
Majors (Target 60%)	86%	100%	75%	100%	100%	91%	92%
Minors (Target 65%)	74%	61%	59%	70%	73%	83%	70%
Others (Target 80%)	86%	88%	78%	77%	78%	92%	83%

5. Appeal Performance

Corrections:

Corrected Para 5.2

There were 14 appeals (or 40%) allowed. The additional two appeals allowed were:

- 12/0812* - Change of use to retail (103 Mytchett Road, Mytchett, Surrey GU16 6ES)

- 13/0771 - Advert appeal (Unit 12, Nelson Way, Camberley, GU15 3DH).

14/0067 should read 14/0667

Corrected Para 5.3

Of these 14 allowed appeals, 6 of these were reported to Planning Applications Committee. Of the 6 determined by Committee, 5 of them were Member overturns (denoted by *).

5 Page 27	15/0445 Land to the north and east of Malthouse Farm, Benner Lane, West End	REFUSE
--------------	---	--------

UPDATE

County Highways Authority raise no objections requesting conditions concerning the provision of vehicular access requirements and secure bicycle parking facilities, retention of parking, provision of a construction management plan and a travel plan

Lead Local Flood Authority (SCC) raises no objections.

A statement has been provided to address the impact on trees. The Arboricultural Officer has subsequently removed his objections.

It is proposed to **remove refusal Reason 4.**

Correction:

The Reason 3 wrongly includes reference to SANG (which can be dealt with by condition instead) and Condition 3 is amended to indicate:

In the absence of a completed legal agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, the applicant has failed to comply with Policy CP14 of the Surrey Heath Core Strategy and development Management Policies 2012 and Policy NRM6 of the South East Plan 2009 (as saved) in relation to the provision of a contribution towards strategic access management and monitoring (SAMM) measures in accordance with the Surrey Heath Borough Council's Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area Avoidance Strategy Supplementary Planning Document 2012.

6 Page 51	15/0332 150-152 London Road, Bagshot	REFUSE to WOULD HAVE REFUSED
--------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------

UPDATE

Four further objections received with these new objections:

- Impact on a dog grooming business
- Impact on businesses in Bagshot

A new application SU/15/0859 has been received for this proposal, with the addition of the sale of pets.

A non-determination appeal has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate under the

written representation procedure. The appeal is waiting to be made valid by the Inspectorate. As such, the Council is not in a position to determine this application.

Amended RECOMMENDATION

The Council WOULD HAVE REFUSED if it had been the determining authority.

This page is intentionally left blank